

Distr.
GENERAL

CEIP/S3.RR/2023/
Sweden
03/10/2023

ENGLISH ONLY

**Report for the Stage 3 *ad-hoc* review of emission
inventories submitted under the UNECE LRTAP
Convention:**

2023

Sweden

FINAL REPORT

CONTENT

INTRODUCTION	3
PART A: GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE CHAPTER AGRICULTURE.....	5
PART B: SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE SECTOR AGRICULTURE.....	6
PART C: SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE GRIDDED EMISSION DATA FOR THE SECTOR AGRICULTURE.....	9
REVISED ESTIMATES AND TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS CONSIDERED AND/OR CALCULATED BY ERT	11
LIST OF MATERIALS PROVIDED TO ERT.....	12
LIST OF ADDITIONAL MATERIALS PROVIDED BY THE COUNTRY DURING THE REVIEW	12
ABBREVIATIONS.....	13
LIST OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	15

INTRODUCTION

1. The mandate and overall objectives for the emission inventory review process under the LRTAP Convention is given by the UNECE document 'Updated methods and procedures for the technical reviews of air pollutant emission inventories reported under the Convention'⁽¹⁾ – hereafter referred to as the 'Review Guidelines 2018'.

2. Paragraph 7 (c) of the 'Review Guidelines 2018' defines that Stage 3 Reviews may be annual centralized reviews or ad hoc reviews. Paragraph 18 of the 'Review Guidelines 2018' further specifies that such ad hoc reviews could, for instance, focus on specific source sectors, specific pollutants such as heavy metals or persistent organic pollutants, gridded and projections data, or on other areas as requested by the Implementation Committee and that where appropriate, ad hoc reviews could be conducted in line with the present Methods and Procedures for the In-depth (Stage 3) review.

3. At its eighth joint session in September 2022, the Steering Body and the Working Group on Effects approved the plan that the in-depth review in 2023 focuses on emissions from agriculture with a special emphasis on ammonia, NMVOC and NO_x emissions including gridded data. While the focus was set on NH₃, NMVOC and NO_x emissions, also all other pollutants covered by LRTAP Convention and its protocols (i.e. SO₂, NO_x, NMVOC, NH₃, plus PM₁₀ PM_{2.5}, BC, priority HMs and POP_S) have been checked for the time series years 1990 – 2021 to the extent possible. For these other pollutants especially completeness of reporting was assessed.

4. This report covers the results of the Stage 3 Review (ad hoc review) 2023 of Sweden's air emission inventory submitted under the UNECE LRTAP Convention. The review was coordinated by the EMEP Centre on Emission Inventories and Projections (CEIP) acting as Review Secretariat. The review took place between April and June 2023 and was performed as a desk review between 31 March to 5 May 2023 and an in-person meeting between 22 of May 2023 and 26 May 2023 (centralized review). The following team of nominated experts from the Roster of Experts performed the review.

Agriculture experts:

Ms. Armine ARTENYAN (Republic of Armenia)

Ms. Ajla BASOVIC (Montenegro)

Ms. Aleksandra NESTOROVSKA-KRSTESKA (North Macedonia)

Mr. Lasha AKHALAIA (Georgia)

¹ Decision 2018/1 adopted by EB: *Updated methods and procedures for the technical review of air pollutant emission inventories reported under the Convention*. ECE/EB.AIR/142/Add.1
http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/documents/2002/eb/air/EB%20Decisions/Decision_2018_1.pdf

Mr. Hakam AL-HANBALI (Sweden)

Ms. Susana LOPEZ-APARICIO (EU/ETC(EEA))

Ms. Simone MAYER (Austria)

Ms. Andjelka RADOSAVLJEVIC (Serbia)

Ms. Kristina Tonhauzer (Slovakia)

Mr. Tim VAN DER ZEE (Netherlands)

Experts for gridded emission data:

Ms. Christine BRENDLE (Austria)

Mr. Christopher EVANGELIDES (United Kingdom)

Mr. Christian MIELKE (Germany)

5. Mr. Ben RICHMOND (United Kingdom), Ms. Rikke ALBREKTSEN (Denmark), Mr. Etienne MATHIAS (France), Ms. Kristina SAARINEN (Finland) were the lead reviewers. The review was coordinated by Ms. Sabine Schindlbacher and Mr. Bernhard Ullrich (EMEP Centre on Emission Inventories and Projections - CEIP).

6. The review was performed on the basis of CLRTAP emission data officially reported by Sweden, due by 15 February 2023. The Informative Inventory Reports (IIR), reported due by 15 March 2023 under the CLRTAP, informed the review.

7. The EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2019² was used as a base for the review.

8. The emission inventory of Sweden was received on 3 February 2023 and thus by the deadline of 15 February. The Informative Inventory Report was received on 14 March 2023 and thus by the deadline of 15 March.

² EMEP/EEA: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2019, EEA Report No. 13/2019 European Environment Agency, Copenhagen. Available at: <https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2019> EU 2019

PART A: GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE CHAPTER AGRICULTURE

9. The ERT recognises the level of effort undertaken by Sweden in providing an inventory including a significant level of detail.

The IIR describes the methods used for the sector agriculture transparently. The ERT considers the agriculture part of the inventory submission to be of very good quality in terms of completeness and of very good quality in terms of accuracy, comparability and consistency.

To improve the overall quality of the agriculture air emission inventory the ERT recommends Sweden to

- provide additional maps in the gridded chapter to enhance the quality of the IIR
- use the latest available version of the EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory Guidebook 2019 where relevant
- include information relating to the validation of Sweden's agricultural model, and details regarding comparisons between results from Sweden's model with other models.

PART B: SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE SECTOR AGRICULTURE

10. Table 1 provides the findings from the 2023 CLRTAP Stage 3 Review including those not implemented from previous CLTRAP Stage 3 Reviews. While the focus was set on NH₃, NMVOC and NO_x emissions, also all other pollutants covered by the LRTAP Convention and its protocols (i.e. SO₂, NO_x, NMVOC, NH₃, plus PM₁₀ PM_{2.5}, BC, priority HMs and POP_s) have been checked for the years 1990 – 2021 to the extent possible, especially regarding the completeness of reporting. The implementation of the recommendations will be followed up in a future CLRTAP inventory review.

Table 1: Findings from the CLRTAP Stage 3 Review 2023 for the Sector Agriculture³

ID	Pollutants	NFR category	Key Category	Tier level	Type	TAC ₁ C ₂ C ₃
SE-2023-3B-1	NH ₃ , NMVOC, NO _x	3B and 3D	Yes	Tier 2	R	TC ₃
<p>Observation The ERT noticed that Sweden uses the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (2016) as reference source for the EFs in both NFR 3B and 3D (NMVOC and NO_x), and regarding NH₃, Sweden uses country specific emission factors. Sweden has responded that the reference source will be updated in the 2024 submission to EMEP/EEA Guidebook (2019). Moreover, Sweden plans to investigate the current CS NH₃ EFs and the results of this will be implemented when ready.</p> <p>Recommendation The ERT encourage Sweden to continue with their plan regarding the evaluation of CS NH₃ EFs for their inclusion in the IIR. The ERT also recommends updating the EF reference source to EMEP/EEA guidebook (2019) for the remaining pollutants in the 2024 submission.</p>						
ID	Pollutants	NFR category	Key Category	Tier level	Type	TAC ₁ C ₂ C ₃
SE-2023-3B-2	NH ₃	3B	Yes	Tier 2	R	T
<p>Observation The ERT noticed that NH₃ abatement techniques to control emissions from manure management are not described as such in the IIR. Sweden responded that different type of storage and application of manure to minimize NH₃ emissions are represented in the activity data</p>						

³ Note: There are four possible types of findings: R: Recommendation, TC: Technical Correction, PTC: Potential Technical Correction; RE : Revised Estimate

The findings have been assigned to one or more of the following criteria: TACCC T (Transparency), A (Accuracy), C₁ (Completeness), C₂(Comparability), C₃ (Consistency) for definitions of these criteria see EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2019

and corresponding emission factors. For instance, Tables 5.5 to 5.10 show the activity data that describes the development in the handling of manure, and from table 5.11 to 5.16 show the corresponding EFs.

Recommendation

The ERT encourages Sweden to add the description of the abatement techniques (i.e., different storage and application strategies of the manure) that are used in Sweden to reduce NH₃ emissions, to enhance the quality of the IIR.

PART C: SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE GRIDDED EMISSION DATA FOR THE SECTOR AGRICULTURE

11. For the 2023 Review of the gridded emission data the focus was set on NH₃, NMVOC, NO_x and PM_{2.5} emissions.
12. The methods used by Sweden to grid sectoral emissions are described transparently in the IIR.
13. The description includes data sources that have been used for spatial distribution.
14. Gridded emissions reported for GNFR K_AgriLivestock and L_AgriOther are almost consistent with the corresponding NFR categories reported in Annex I.
15. Table 2 provides the findings from the ERT related to the gridded data.
16. The implementation of the recommendations will be followed up in a future CLRTAP inventory review.

Table 2: Findings from the CLRTAP stage 3 review 2023 for gridded emissions from the sector agriculture⁴

ID	Pollutants	GNFR category	TAC ₁ C ₂ C ₃
SE-2023-GRID-GL-1	All supplied	GNFR-K&L	T
<p>Observation The expert review team notes the high level of detail regarding the methods for the compilation of gridded data. However, there is a lack of maps presented in this chapter of the IIR.</p> <p>Recommendation The expert review team recommends Sweden to provide maps to enhance the quality of the IIR.</p>			

⁴ The findings have been assigned to one or more of the following criteria: TACCC T (Transparency), A (Accuracy), C₁ (Completeness), C₂ (Comparability), C₃ (Consistency) for definitions of these criteria see EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2019

REVISED ESTIMATES AND TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS CONSIDERED AND/OR CALCULATED BY ERT

17. In the Appendix of the 'EMEP/UNECE Review Guidelines 2018'⁵ it is stated that if the ERT considers that emissions are significantly under- or overestimated, the Party is during the review invited to submit 'Revised Estimates' that address the issue raised. Should the Party decline to do this, or should it not be possible to agree on the quantification of a Revised Estimate i.e. the ERT does not accept a Revised Estimate provided by the Party, the ERT may calculate a 'Technical Correction'. The threshold for significance for a Technical Correction for the in-depth review in 2023 was set at 2% of the national total, i.e. a finding that has been identified to result in an over- or underestimate of emissions of more than 2% of the national total. The methods for calculating Technical Corrections are set up in the 'EMEP/UNECE Review Guidelines 2018' and use the EMEP/EEA Emission 'Inventory Guidebook' as a reference for methods and emission factors.

18. The ERT did not calculate any Technical Corrections and Sweden did not provide any Revised Estimates.

⁵ https://www.ceip.at/fileadmin/inhalte/ceip/3_review/advance_version_ece_eb.air_142_add.1.pdf

LIST OF MATERIALS PROVIDED TO ERT

1. Sweden Annex I reporting template
2. Sweden Stage 2 S&A report
3. Sweden Stage 1 report 2023
4. Sweden IIR 2023
5. Repdab-Report
6. Extended checks

LIST OF ADDITIONAL MATERIALS PROVIDED BY THE COUNTRY DURING THE REVIEW

1. Responses to the question raised by ERT during the review
2. Material received from the Party during the Review
 - No additional information was provided by the Party either before or during the review.

ABBREVIATIONS

This list includes abbreviations commonly used in the Review Reports

AD	Activity data
BaP	Benzo[a]pyrene
BC	Black Carbon
C	Confidential
Cd	Cadmium
CEIP	Centre on Emission Inventories and Projections
CLRTAP	Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution – ‘the Air Convention’
CO	Carbon Monoxide
E-PRTR	European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register
EEA	European Environment Agency
EF	Emission factor
EMEP	The co-operative programme for monitoring and evaluation of the long-range transmission of air pollutants in Europe (unofficially ‘European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme’ = EMEP)
ERC	Emission Reduction Commitment
ERT	Expert Review Team
GHG	Greenhouse gas
GIS	Geo Information System
GNFR	NFR Aggregation for Gridding and LPS
HCB	Hexachlorobenzene
Hg	Mercury
HM	Heavy metals
IEF	Implied emission factor
kt	Kilotonnes
LPS	Large Point Sources
NA	Not applicable
NE	Not Estimated
NECD	National Emission reduction Commitments Directive
NFR	Nomenclature for reporting
NH ₃	Ammonia
NMVOC	Non-methane volatile organic compounds
NO	Not Occuring
NO _x	Nitrogen oxides
NR	Not relevant/Not Reported
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
Pb	Lead
PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyls
PCDD/F	Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans
PM ₁₀	Fine particulate matter: particles with an aerodynamic diameter equal to or less than 10 micrometres (µm)

PM _{2.5}	Fine particulate matter: particles with an aerodynamic diameter equal to or less than 2.5 micrometres (µm)
POPs	Persistent organic pollutants
PTC	Potential technical correction
RE	Revised estimate
SO ₂	Sulphur dioxide
SO _x	Sulphur oxides
TC	Technical correction
TSP	Total suspended particulates

LIST OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

1. Annex I emission reporting template. Available at <https://www.ceip.at/reporting-instructions>
2. ECE/EB.AIR/111/Add.1: Decision 2012/3: Adjustments under the Gothenburg Protocol to emission reduction commitments or to inventories for the purposes of comparing total national emissions with them
https://unece.org/DAM/env/documents/2013/air/ECE_EB.AIR_111_Add.1_ENG_DE_CISION_3.pdf
3. ECE/EB.AIR/113/Add.1: Decision 2012/12: Guidance for adjustments under the 1999 Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone to emission reduction commitments or to inventories for the purposes of comparing total national emissions with them
https://unece.org/DAM/env/documents/2012/EB/Decision_2012_12.pdf
4. ECE/EB.AIR/125: 2014 Reporting Guidelines for Estimating and Reporting Emission Data under CLRTAP
https://unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/documents/2013/air/eb/ece.eb.air.125_E_ODS.pdf
5. ECE/EB.AIR/127/Add.1: Decision 2014/1: Improving the guidance for adjustments under the 1999 Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone to emission reduction commitments or to inventories for the purposes of comparing total national emissions with them
https://unece.org/DAM/env/documents/2014/AIR/EB/Decision_2014_1.pdf
6. ECE/EB.AIR/130: Technical Guidance for Parties Making Adjustment Applications and for the Expert Review of Adjustment Applications, 14 April 2015
https://unece.org/DAM/env/documents/2014/AIR/EB/ECE_EB_AIR_130_ENG.pdf
7. [ECE/EB.AIR/142/Add.1: Decision 2018/1: Updated methods and procedures for the technical reviews of air pollutant emission inventories reported under the Convention](https://www.ceip.at/fileadmin/inhalte/ceip/00_pdf_other/2019/decision_2018_1_advance_version_ece_eb.air_142_add.1.pdf)
https://www.ceip.at/fileadmin/inhalte/ceip/00_pdf_other/2019/decision_2018_1_advance_version_ece_eb.air_142_add.1.pdf
8. EMEP/EEA: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2016, EEA Report No. 21/2016 European Environment Agency, Copenhagen. Available at: <https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2016>
9. EMEP/EEA: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2019, EEA Report No. 13/2019 European Environment Agency, Copenhagen. Available at: <https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2019>
10. TFEIP (2022): "Inventory adjustments in the context of emission reduction commitments (ERC)" available at: https://www.ceip.at/fileadmin/inhalte/ceip/00_pdf_other/2022/technical_guidance_for_erc_adjustments_issue1.1.pdf