## UNITED NATIONS

Distr. GENERAL

> CEIP/S3.RR/2023/ Armenia 07/11/2023

**ENGLISH ONLY** 

# Report for the Stage 3 *ad-hoc* review of emission inventories submitted under the UNECE LRTAP Convention:

2023

**Armenia** 

**FINAL REPORT** 

## **CONTENT**

INTRODUCTION 3
PART B: SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE SECTOR  AGRICULTURE6
PART C: SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE GRIDDED EMISSION DATA FOR THE SECTOR AGRICULTURE8
TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS CALCULATED BY ERT9
LIST OF MATERIALS PROVIDED TO ERT11
LIST OF ADDITIONAL MATERIALS PROVIDED BY THE COUNTRY DURING THE REVIEW12
ANNEX I TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS
ABBREVIATIONS17
LIST OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS 19

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. The mandate and overall objectives for the emission inventory review process under the LRTAP Convention is given by the UNECE document 'Updated methods and procedures for the technical reviews of air pollutant emission inventories reported under the Convention'(1) hereafter referred to as the 'Review Guidelines 2018'.
- 2. Paragraph 7 (c) of the 'Review Guidelines 2018' defines that Stage 3 Reviews may be annual centralized reviews or ad hoc reviews. Paragraph 18 of the 'Review Guidelines 2018' further specifies that such ad hoc reviews could, for instance, focus on specific source sectors, specific pollutants such as heavy metals or persistent organic pollutants, gridded and projections data, or on other areas as requested by the Implementation Committee and that where appropriate, ad hoc reviews could be conducted in line with the present Methods and Procedures for the In-depth (Stage 3) review.
- 3. At its eighth joint session in September 2022, the Steering Body and the Working Group on Effects approved the plan that the in-depth review in 2023 focuses on emissions from agriculture with a special emphasis on ammonia, NMVOC and  $NO_x$  emissions including gridded data. While the focus was set on  $NH_3$ , NMVOC and  $NO_x$  emissions, also all other pollutants covered by LRTAP Convention and its protocols (i.e.  $SO_2$ , NOx, NMVOC,  $NH_3$ , plus  $PM_{10}$   $PM_{2.5}$ , BC, priority HMs and  $POP_s$ ) have been checked for the time series years 1990-2021 to the extent possible. For these other pollutants especially completeness of reporting was assessed.
- 4. This report covers the results of the Stage 3 Review (ad hoc review) 2023 of Armenia's air emission inventory submitted under the UNECE LRTAP Convention. The review was coordinated by the EMEP Centre on Emission Inventories and Projections (CEIP) acting as Review Secretariat. The review took place between April and June 2023 and was performed as a desk review between 31 March to 5 May 2023 and an in-person meeting between 22 of May 2023 and 26 May 2023 (centralized review). The following team of nominated experts from the Roster of Experts performed the review.

#### **Agriculture experts:**

Ms. Armine ARTENYAN (Republic of Armenia)

Ms. Ajla BASOVIC (Montenegro)

Ms. Aleksandra NESTOROVSKA-KRSTESKA (North Macedonia)

Mr. Lasha AKHALAIA (Georgia)

Armenia 2023 Page 3 of 19

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Decision 2018/1 adopted by EB: Updated methods and procedures for the technical review of air pollutant emission Inventories reported under the Convention. ECE/EB.AIR/142/Add.1 http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/documents/2002/eb/air/EB%20Decisions/Decision\_2018\_1.pdf

- Mr. Hakam AL-HANBALI (Sweden)
- Ms. Susana LOPEZ-APARICIO (EU/EEA(ETC))
- Ms. Simone MAYER (Austria)
- Ms. Andjelka RADOSAVLJEVIC (Serbia)
- Ms. Kristina Tonhauzer (Slovakia)
- Mr. Tim VAN DER ZEE (Netherlands)

#### **Experts for gridded emission data:**

- Ms. Christine BRENDLE (Austria)
- Mr. Christopher EVANGELIDES (United Kingdom)
- Mr. Christian MIELKE (Germany)
- 5. Mr. Ben RICHMOND (United Kingdom), Ms. Rikke ALBREKTSEN (Denmark), Mr. Etienne MATHIAS (France), Ms. Kristina SAARINEN (Finland) were the lead reviewers. The review was coordinated by Ms. Sabine Schindlbacher and Mr. Bernhard Ullrich (EMEP Centre on Emission Inventories and Projections CEIP).
- 6. The review was performed on basis of CLRTAP emission data officially reported by Armenia, due by 15 February 2023. The Informative Inventory Report (IIR), reported due by 15 March 2023 under the CLRTAP, informed the review.
- 7. The EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2019<sup>2</sup> was used as a base for the review.
- 8. The emission inventory of Armenia was received on 15 February 2023 and thus by the deadline of 15 February. The Informative Inventory Report was received on 2 April 2023 and thus after the deadline of 15 March.

Armenia 2023 Page 4 of 19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> EMEP/EEA: EMEP/EEA Emission Inventory Guidebook 2019, EEA Report No. 13/2019 European Environment Agency, Copenhagen. Available at: https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2019 EU 2019

#### Part A: General recommendations for the Chapter Agriculture

9. The ERT recognises the level of effort undertaken by Armenia in providing an inventory including a significant level of detail.

The IIR does not describe the methods used for the sector agriculture transparently enough. The ERT considers that there is room for further improvement in the agriculture part of the inventory in terms of completeness and in terms of accuracy, comparability and consistency.

To improve the overall quality of the agriculture air emission inventory the ERT recommends Armenia to

- provide a detailed description of applied methodologies, data sources, choice of emission factors and activity data for all categories in the IIR.
- apply a Tier 2 or higher method to all key categories.
- use the latest available version of the EMEP/EEA Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook
- ensure that the agriculture emission inventory is complete by extending the years reported to cover the whole timeseries from 1990
- include the Technical Correction calculated by the ERT to the next submission
- provide gridded data.

Armenia 2023 Page 5 of 19

# PART B: SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE SECTOR AGRICULTURE

10. Table 1 provides the findings from the 2023 CLRTAP Stage 3 Review including those not implemented from previous CLTRAP Stage 3 Reviews. While the focus was set on NH<sub>3</sub>, NMVOC and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, also all other pollutants covered by the LRTAP Convention and its protocols (i.e.  $SO_2$ , NOx, NMVOC, NH<sub>3</sub>, plus PM<sub>10</sub> PM<sub>2.5</sub>, BC, priority HMs and POP<sub>S</sub>) have been checked for the years 1990 – 2021 to the extent possible, especially regarding the completeness of reporting. The implementation of the recommendations will be followed up in a future CLRTAP inventory review.

Armenia 2023 Page 6 of 19

Table 1: Findings from the CLRTAP Stage 3 Review 2023 for the Sector Agriculture<sup>3</sup>

ID	Pollutants	NFR category	Key Category	Tier 1 used for KC	Туре	TAC <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub>
AM-2023-3B-3D_1	All	3B and 3D	Yes	Yes	R	AC

#### Observation

The ERT noticed that Armenia provided emissions for the year 2021, but not for the previous years.

#### Recommendation

The ERT recommends the Party to expand the reporting to cover the whole time series from 1990. The Armenian statistical office and FAOSTAT have sufficient information to calculate emissions for all years (1990-2021).

ID	Pollutants	NFR category	Key Category	Tier 1 used for KC	Туре	TAC <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub>
AM-2023-3B-3D_2	NH <sub>3</sub> , NMVOC	3B	Yes	Yes	R	AC <sub>3</sub>

#### Observation

The ERT noticed that the IIR is very brief. The Party explains that all emissions are calculated using Tier 1 methods. However, the ERT noted that the IIR contains no information on which emission factors (high, low or the average) and which activity data is used.

#### Recommendation

The ERT recommends the Party to expand the IIR, to include more information on the emission factors used, the activity data and to explain trends that can be seen as well as any individual years with big changes.

The findings have been assigned to one or more of the following criteria: TACCC T (Transparency), A (Accuracy), C<sub>1</sub> (Completeness), C<sub>2</sub> (Comparability), C<sub>3</sub> (Consistency) for definitions of these criteria see EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Note: There are four possible types of findings: R: Recommendation, TC: Technical Correction, PTC: Potential Technical Correction; RE: Revised Estimate

# PART C: SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE GRIDDED EMISSION DATA FOR THE SECTOR AGRICULTURE

	For the 2023 Review of the gridded emission data the focus was set on nia, NMVOC, NOx and PM2.5 emissions.
12.	Armenia did not submit gridded data.

#### TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS CALCULATED BY ERT

- 13. In the Appendix of the 'EMEP/UNECE Review Guidelines 2018<sup>4</sup>' it is stated that if the ERT considers that emissions are significantly under- or overestimated, the Party is during the review invited to submit 'Revised Estimates' that address the issue raised. Should the Party decline to do this, or should it not be possible to agree on the quantification of a Revised Estimate i.e. the ERT does not accept a Revised Estimate provided by the Party, the ERT may calculate a 'Technical Correction'. The threshold for significance for a Technical Correction for the in-depth review in 2023 was set at 2% of the national total, i.e. a finding that has been identified to result in an over- or underestimate of emissions of more than 2% of the national total. The methods for calculating Technical Corrections are set up in the 'EMEP/UNECE Review Guidelines 2018' and use the EMEP/EEA Emission 'Inventory Guidebook' as a reference for methods and emission factors.
- 14. Armenia did not provide any Revised Estimates.
- 15. The ERT calculated Technical Corrections. Armenia did not respond if they agree or disagree to the Technical Corrections.
- 16. The ERT recommends Armenia to consider the Technical Corrections in their next inventory submission.
- 17. Details of the Technical Corrections and Revised Estimates presented in Table 3 are included in ANNEX I TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.ceip.at/fileadmin/inhalte/ceip/3\_review/advance\_version\_ece\_eb.air\_142\_add.1.pdf

Table 2 Summary of potential technical corrections identified by ERT for country

NFR category/ categories	Pollutants	Years*	RE/TC quantified (yes/no)	Potential contribution to national total (%)
3B1, 3B4, 3Da2, 3Da3	NOx	2021	yes	+0.6
3B2, 3B3, 3B4, 3De	NMVOC	2021	yes	+0.1
3B1, 3B2, 3B3, 3B4, 3Da2a, 3Da3	NH <sub>3</sub>	2021	yes	+2.1
3B2, 3B3, 3B4, 3Da2a, 3Da3	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	2021	yes	+0.3
3B2, 3B3, 3B4, 3Da2a, 3Da3	PM <sub>10</sub>	2021	yes	+1.7

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Armenia only provided the NFR table for 2021 emissions, therefore potential contributions to years 2005 and 2020 were not calculated by the ERT.

Armenia 2023 Page 10 of 19

### LIST OF MATERIALS PROVIDED TO ERT

1. IIR\_Armenia\_report\_2023

2. NFR\_Armenia\_rev21-2023

Armenia 2023 Page 11 of 19

# LIST OF ADDITIONAL MATERIALS PROVIDED BY THE COUNTRY DURING THE REVIEW

1. Responses to the question raised by ERT during the review

Armenia 2023 Page 12 of 19

### **ANNEX I TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS**

- 18. Armenia did not send Revised Estimates during the review.
- 19. The ERT calculated Technical Corrections. Armenia did not respond whether they agree or disagree with the Technical Corrections. Detailed related information is provided separately in the Excel file:
  - Armenia\_TC1-5-2023-NFR.xlsx

Table 3: Technical Corrections calculated by the ERT

Technical Correction for NO <sub>x</sub> emissions in NFR 3B (Manure management), NFR 3Da2a (Animal manure applied to soils), NFR 3Da3 (Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals)						
Year	Original Technical Correction Difference between original estimate					
	estimate (kt)	calculated by the ERT (kt)	and Technical Correction (kt)			
2005	NA	0.232	0.000			
2020	NA	0.225	0.225			
2021	0.079	0.225	0.145			

Table 6: Technical Corrections calculated by the ERT

Technical Correction for NMVOC emissions in NFR 3B2; 3B3, 3B4 (Manure management), NFR 3De (Cultivated crops)							
Year	Year Original Technical Correction Difference between original estimate						
	estimate (kt)   calculated by the ERT (kt)   and Technical Correction (kt)						
2005	005 NA 6.683 6.683						
2020	NA	6.626	6.626				
2021	5.986	6.221	0.235				

Table 7: Technical Corrections calculated by the ERT

Technical Correction for NH₃ emissions in NFR 3B (Manure management), 3Da2a (Animal manure applied to soils), NFR 3Da3 (Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals)						
Year	Original Technical Correction Difference between original estimate					
	estimate (kt)	calculated by the ERT (kt)	and Technical Correction (kt)			
2005	NA	17.323	17.323			
2020	NA	17.486	17.486			
2021	15.981	16.326	0.345			

Armenia 2023 Page 13 of 19

**Table 8: Technical Corrections calculated by the ERT** 

Те	Technical Correction for PM <sub>2.5</sub> emissions in NFR 3B2, 3B3, 3B4 (Manure management)						
Year	Original	Technical Correction	Difference between original estimate				
	estimate (kt)	calculated by the ERT (kt)	and Technical Correction (kt)				
2005	NA	0.190	0.190				
2020	NA	0.186	0.186				
2021	0.166	0.175	0.008				

Table 9: Technical Corrections calculated by the ERT

Technical Correction for PM <sub>10</sub> emissions in NFR 3B2, 3B3, 3B4 (Manure management)						
Year	Original	Technical Correction	Difference between original estimate			
	estimate (kt)	calculated by the ERT (kt)	and Technical Correction (kt)			
2005	NA	0.450	0.450			
2020	NA	0.444	0.444			
2021	0.387	0.439	0.051			

Table 10: Effect of the Technical Corrections and Revised Estimates on the National Total and National Total for compliance for  $NO_x$ 

Year	National	National	Sum of	National Total	National Total for
	Total	Total for	Technical	including	Compliance
	(kt) <sup>5</sup>	Compliance	Corrections	Technical	including Technical
		(kt) <sup>6</sup>	(kt)	Corrections (kt)	Corrections (kt)
2005	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2020	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2021	23.750	23.750	0.145	23.895	23.895

Armenia 2023 Page 14 of 19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Line 141 in Annex I to the reporting guidelines (NFR table)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Line 152 in Annex I to the reporting guidelines (NFR table)

Table 11: Effect of the Technical Corrections and Revised Estimates on the National Total and National Total for compliance NMVOC

Year	National	National	Sum of	National Total	National Total for
	Total (kt)6	Total for	Technical	including	Compliance
		Compliance	Corrections	Technical	including
		(kt) <sup>7</sup>	(kt)	Corrections (kt)	Technical
					Corrections (kt)
2005	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2020	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2021	183.741	183.741	0.235	183.976	183.976

Table 12: Effect of the Technical Corrections and Revised Estimates on the National Total and National Total for compliance for NH<sub>3</sub>

Year	National	National	Sum of	National Total	National Total for
	Total (kt)6	Total for	Technical	including	Compliance
		Compliance	Corrections	Technical	including Technical
		(kt) <sup>7</sup>	(kt)	Corrections (kt)	Corrections (kt)
2005	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2020	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2021	16.220	16.220	0.345	16.565	16.565

Armenia 2023 Page 15 of 19

Table 13: Effect of the Technical Corrections and Revised Estimates on the National Total and National Total for compliance for  $PM_{2.5}$ 

Year	National	National	Sum of	National Total	National Total for
	Total	Total for	Technical	including	Compliance
	(kt) <sup>6</sup>	Compliance	Corrections	Technical	including Technical
		(kt) <sup>7</sup>	(kt)	Corrections (kt)	Corrections (kt)
2005	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2020	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2021	2.776	2.776	0.008	2.784	2.784

Table 14: Effect of the Technical Corrections and Revised Estimates on the National Total and National Total for compliance for  $PM_{10}$ 

Year	National	National	Sum of	National Total	National Total for
	Total	Total for	Technical	including	Compliance
	(kt) <sup>6</sup>	Compliance	Corrections	Technical	including Technical
		(kt) <sup>7</sup>	(kt)	Corrections (kt)	Corrections (kt)
2005	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2020	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2021	3.082	3.082	0.051	3.133	3.133

Armenia 2023 Page 16 of 19

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

This list includes abbreviations commonly used in the Review Reports

AD	Activity data			
BaP	Benzo[a]pyrene			
BC	Black Carbon			
С	Confidential			
Cd	Cadmium			
CEIP	Centre on Emission Inventories and Projections			
	Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air			
CLRTAP	Pollution – 'the Air Convention'			
CO	Carbon Monoxide			
E-PRTR	European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register			
EEA	European Environment Agency			
EF	Emission factor			
EMEP	The co-operative programme for monitoring and evaluation of the long-range transmission of air pollutants in Europe (unofficially 'European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme' = EMEP)			
ERC	Emission Reduction Commitment			
ERT	Expert Review Team			
GHG	Greenhouse gas			
GIS	Geo Information System			
GNFR	NFR Aggregation for Gridding and LPS			
HCB	Hexachlorobenzene			
Hg	Mercury			
НМ	Heavy metals			
IEF	Implied emission factor			
kt	Kilotonnes			
LPS	Large Point Sources			
NA	Not applicable			
NE	Not Estimated			
NECD	National Emission reduction Commitments Directive			
NFR	Nomenclature for reporting			
NH <sub>3</sub>	Ammonia			
NMVOC	Non-methane volatile organic compounds			
NO	Not Occuring			
NO <sub>x</sub>	Nitrogen oxides			
NR	Not relevant/Not Reported			
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons			
Pb	Lead			
PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyls			
PCDD/F	Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans			
PM <sub>10</sub>	Fine particulate matter: particles with an aerodynamic diameter equal to or less than 10 micrometres (µm)			

PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Fine particulate matter: particles with an aerodynamic diameter equal to or less than 2.5 micrometres (µm)
POPs	Persistent organic pollutants
PTC	Potential technical correction
RE	Revised estimate
SO <sub>2</sub>	Sulphur dioxide
SO <sub>x</sub>	Sulphur oxides
TC	Technical correction
TSP	Total suspended particulates

Armenia 2023 Page 18 of 19

# LIST OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

- 1. Annex I emission reporting template. Available at <a href="https://www.ceip.at/reporting-instructions">https://www.ceip.at/reporting-instructions</a>
- 2. ECE/EB.AIR/111/Add.1: Decision 2012/3: Adjustments under the Gothenburg Protocol to emission reduction commitments or to inventories for the purposes of comparing total national emissions with them

https://unece.org/DAM/env/documents/2013/air/ECE\_EB.AIR\_111\_Add.1\_ENG\_DECISION\_3.pdf

3. ECE/EB.AIR/113/Add.1: Decision 2012/12: Guidance for adjustments under the 1999 Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone to emission reduction commitments or to inventories for the purposes of comparing total national emissions with them

https://unece.org/DAM/env/documents/2012/EB/Decision 2012 12.pdf

- 4. ECE/EB.AIR/125: 2014 Reporting Guidelines for Estimating and Reporting Emission Data under CLRTAP https://unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/documents/2013/air/eb/ece.eb.air.125\_E\_ODS.pdf
- 5. ECE/EB.AIR/127/Add.1: Decision 2014/1: Improving the guidance for adjustments under the 1999 Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone to emission reduction commitments or to inventories for the purposes of comparing total national emissions with them <a href="https://unece.org/DAM/env/documents/2014/AIR/EB/Decision\_2014\_1.pdf">https://unece.org/DAM/env/documents/2014/AIR/EB/Decision\_2014\_1.pdf</a>
- 6. ECE/EB.AIR/130: Technical Guidance for Parties Making Adjustment Applications and for the Expert Review of Adjustment Applications, 14 April 2015 <a href="https://unece.org/DAM/env/documents/2014/AIR/EB/ECE\_EB\_AIR\_130\_ENG.pdf">https://unece.org/DAM/env/documents/2014/AIR/EB/ECE\_EB\_AIR\_130\_ENG.pdf</a>
- 7. <u>ECE/EB.AIR/142/Add.1: Decision 2018/1: Updated methods and procedures</u> for the technical reviews of air pollutant emission inventories reported under the Convention

https://www.ceip.at/fileadmin/inhalte/ceip/00\_pdf\_other/2019/decision\_2018\_1\_advance\_version\_ece\_eb.air\_142\_add.1.pdf

- 8. EMEP/EEA: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2016, EEA Report No. 21/2016 European Environment Agency, Copenhagen. Available at: <a href="http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2016">http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2016</a>
- 9. EMEP/EEA: EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2019, EEA Report No. 13/2019 European Environment Agency, Copenhagen. Available at: <a href="https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2019">https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-guidebook-2019</a>
- 10. TFEIP (2022): "Inventory adjustments in the context of emission reduction commitments (ERC)" available at: <a href="https://www.ceip.at/fileadmin/inhalte/ceip/00">https://www.ceip.at/fileadmin/inhalte/ceip/00</a> pdf\_other/2022/technical\_guidance\_for erc\_adjustments\_issue1.1.pdf